



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,435	08/31/2001	David Grant Midkiff	15,780	1854
23556	7590 07/25/2003			
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			EXAMINER	
			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	5
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		AS-5
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,435	MIDKIFF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher C Pratt	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on §	31 August 2001 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims	P	
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applica		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 	, , ,	
Attachment(s)	-	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not 	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/944,435 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18, drawn to a coated nonwoven material, classified in class
 442, subclass 59+.
 - II. Claims 19-27, drawn to a pleated material, classified in class 428, subclass 152.
 - III. Claims 28-38, drawn to a method of forming a composite material, classified in class 156, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a car cover without being pleated and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,435 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another method comprising forming the nonwoven material after the rigidifying component has been blended with the fibers.

- 4. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another method comprising forming the nonwoven material after the rigidifying component has been blended with the fibers.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Ralph Dean on 6/22/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ula Ruddock

Christopher C. Pratt July 17, 2003